UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,180	01/21/2004	Kia Silverbrook	SMA01US	2057
	7590 04/15/200 K RESEARCH PTY I	EXAMINER		
393 DARLING STREET			GARCIA JR, RENE	
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/760,180	SILVERBROOK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RENE GARCIA JR	2853
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 19-25 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,6,7 and 19-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific part of	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/14/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook et al. (US 6,612,240) in view of Michalik (US 5,469,788).

Silverbrook et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

*regarding claim 1, digital photofinishing system comprising: (fig. 5, 6; col. 1, lines 6-11)

*roll of print media/web/ (col. 1, lines 39, 40, 50-53; ABS; fig 5 – web not shown but obvious that the web is a continuous roll of media and known in art for web to be a roll of media)

Application/Control Number: 10/760,180

Art Unit: 2853

*printer/10/ (fig. 1; col. 3, lines 14-21) comprising two confronting (fig. 11; col. 4, lines 18-25; col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 25; col. 5, lines 45-52), spaced-apart print head assemblies/54/

Page 3

*means for feeding/roller assembly, 74/ print media to the printer from the roll of the print media/web/ (fig. 9, 10; col. 4, lines 38-55; col. 6, lines 60-65; col. 7, lines 15-29)

*digital processor arranged to receive digitised data representative of a photographic image and to process the data in a manner to generate a printer drive signal representative of the photographic image (col. 7, lines 30-col. 8, line 4; col. 3, lines 14-20; col. 5, lines 12-40; while not specific to a processor being present, it is well known in the art for printers to provide electronics to accept incoming data via a source, such as PC, and generate necessary print data specific to print the image; furthermore the presence of print engine controllers/126/ and PCB's/108,110/ indicate presence of such capabilities; wherein the claim recitation does not require any specifics not known to be utilized in prior art, therefore reasonably to be present in Silverbrook et al. US 6,612,240)

*wherein the printer is arranged to process the drive signal and effect page-width printing of the photographic image on the print media as it is fed to and through the printer from the roll (col. 1, lines 54-56)

Silverbrook et al. does not disclose the following claimed limitations:

*regarding claim 1, slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths

Art Unit: 2853

Michalik teaches the following:

*regarding claim 1, slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths (fig. 1, 2; col. 3, lines 34-37; col. 4, lines 6-30)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths as taught by Michalik into Silverbook et al. for the purpose of creating tabloids or inserts. Silverbrook et al. and Michalik are related art in teaching the use of webs (medium) to create images at high speeds, wherein Silverbrook et al. fails to discuss the function of cutting the web to any predetermined end size. It is obvious to a person having skill in the art to recognize that such means as presented by Michalik can function to operate on the invention of Silverbrook at a stage after image has been formed, therefore meeting the limitations presented.

4. Claims 1, 6, 7, 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silverbrook et al. (US 2002/0093569) [herein '569] in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,612,240) [herein '240] and Michalik (US 5,469,788).

Silverbrook et al. '569 discloses the following claim limitations:

*regarding claim 1, digital photofinishing system comprising: (fig. 1, 11-13; ABS; ¶0001, 0007, 0009, 0002)

*roll of print media/**504/** (fig. 1, 5, 13; ¶0035, 0036)

*printer/printhead, 516; printhead sub-assembly, 508/ (fig. 1, 2, 3, 5; ¶0038)

Application/Control Number: 10/760,180

Art Unit: 2853

*means for feeding/stepper motor, 530; drive roller, 534; roller assembly, 536; gear train, 538/ (fig. 2, 5; ¶0040) print media to the printer from the roll of the print media

Page 5

*digital processor/camera print engine control chip, 721/ (fig. 4, 5, 6; ¶0047, 0051) arranged to receive digitised data representative of a photographic image and to process the data in a manner to generate a printer drive signal representative of the photographic image (known in the art for printers to provide electronics to accept incoming data via a source, such as PC, and generate necessary print data specific to print the image; furthermore the presence of print engine control chip/721/ indicates presence of such capabilities)

*wherein the printer is arranged to process the drive signal and effect page-width printing of the photographic image on the print media as it is fed to and through the printer from the roll (¶0015)

*regarding claim 6, cartridge/**504/** removably in juxtaposition to the printer, the cartridge housing: (¶0035; fig. 1, 12, 13)

*the roll of print media/**504/** to be fed to the printer and incorporating means for coupling with a print media feed drive mechanism/roller assembly, **536/** (fig. 2, 5; ¶0040)

*at least one refillable fluid cartridge/reservoirs, 548/ (fig. 5; ¶0041, 0038) containing printing ink to be delivered to the printer

*regarding claim 7, roll of print media/504/ is removably mounted to a tubular core of the cartridge and wherein the at least one fluid cartridge/548/ is removably located within the tubular core (fig. 5; ¶0038, 0041)

*regarding claim 19, processor/721/ and the printer/516, 508/ are mounted to a support structure/print engine, 500; print engine assembly, 502/ and wherein a cartridge/504/ containing a replaceable said roll of the print media is removable mounted to the support structure (fig. 1, 2, 3; ¶0035)

*regarding claim 20, support structure includes a compartment and the cartridge is removably located in the compartment (fig. 12, 13; ¶0035)

*regarding claim 21, print media feed means/roller assembly, 536/ (fig. 2, 5; ¶0040) are located in the cartridge/504/ and drive means/ stepper motor, 530; drive roller, 534; roller assembly, 536; gear train, 538/ (fig. 2, 5; ¶0040) are provided on the support structure/500, 502/ (fig. 1, 2, 3; ¶0038, 0039, 0040) and are arranged to couple with the feed means to effect feeding of the print media through the printer when the cartridge is mounted to the support structure

*regarding claim 22, paper feed drive mechanism is mounted to the compartment and is arranged to engage said roll of the print media (fig. 1, 2, 3; ¶0040)

Art Unit: 2853

*regarding claim 23, door/engageable portion, 540/ is provided in a wall portion of the primary cartridge and wherein the door is arranged to be opened to enable the paper feed drive mechanism to engage the roll of print media (fig. 2; ¶0040)

Page 7

*regarding claim 24, paper feed drive mechanism comprises a pivotal carrier, a first drive motor arranged to impart pivotal drive to the carrier, a primary drive roller mounted to the carrier and arranged to engage the roll of print media when the door in the primary cartridge is open, and a second drive motor arranged to impart rotary drive to the primary roller (¶0040)

*regarding claim 25, print media feed means include a drive roller and a pinch roller, and wherein the drive means comprises a third drive motor which is mounted to the support structure (fig. 1, 2, 3,4, 10; ¶0040)

Silverbrook et al. '569 does not disclose the following claim limitations:

*regarding claim 1, printer comprising two confronting, spaced-apart print head assemblies

*slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths

Silverbrook et al. '240 teaches the following:

*regarding claim 1, printer comprising two confronting, spaced-apart print head assemblies (fig. 9, 11; col. 4, lines 18-25; col. 4, line 65 – col. 5, line 7; col. 5, lines 12-51; wherein '240 teaches a page-width printhead structure separate, see figure 9 with relation to print engine/56/; '569 teaches printhead sub-assembly/508/, see figures 2, 3

Art Unit: 2853

and 5; printhead structure would therefore have been obvious to adjust structure of one with the other to achieve additional capabilities)

Page 8

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize a printer comprising two confronting, spaced-apart print head assemblies as taught by Silverbrook et al. '240 into Silverbrook et al. '569 for the purpose of providing two-sided printing capability to printing system.

Michalik teaches the following:

*regarding claim 1, slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths (fig. 1, 2; col. 3, lines 34-37; col. 4, lines 6-30)

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize slitter mounted downstream of the printer and configured to cut the print media into sheets having predetermined widths as taught by Michalik into Silverbook et al. for the purpose of creating tabloids or inserts. Silverbrook et al. and Michalik are related art in teaching the use of webs (medium) to create images at high speeds, wherein Silverbrook et al. fails to discuss the function of cutting the web to any predetermined end size. It is obvious to a person having skill in the art to recognize that such means as presented by Michalik can function to operate on the invention of Silverbrook at a stage after image has been formed, therefore meeting the limitations presented.

Art Unit: 2853

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Silverbrook et al. (US 2002/0093569) in view of Silverbrook et al. (US 6,612,240) and Michalik (US 5,469,788), specifically '240 teaches the use of opposed print head structures to provide duplexed/two-sided printing, to aide in print performance. Michalik further teaches the use of means to slit the web into set sizes for an end purpose use, tabloids or newspaper inserts.

Communication with the USPTO

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RENE GARCIA JR whose telephone number is (571)272-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 2853

/Stephen D Meier/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2853